State of Minnesota Anoka County		District Court Tenth Judicial District		
·	Court File Number:	02-CV-20-4224		
	Case Type	Eviction (UD)		
Robert Borsay vs				
	Conclusions o	on – Findings of Fact, f Law, Order and inn. Stat. §504B.345)		
This case was heard by the undersigned on _De Da				
PLAINTIFF: Appeared remotely. Appeared through agent Did not appear and is in default.	Represented by: 🔀 o <u>Ronald E. Berglund,</u> Name			
DEFENDANT:	Represented by: a Shelley D. Jensen, Es			
Defendant has \square admitted \boxtimes denied the allegations in the Eviction Action complaint.				
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law				

- 1. Plaintiff has failed to prove the allegations in the complaint.
 - a. Plaintiff has failed to prove that an exception under Executive Order 20-79 applies to this action that would allow for an eviction. Plaintiff asserted that he needed to move his minor daughter, age 14, into the Leased Premises, which is a room in the property located at 491 57th Ave. NE, Fridley. MN 55432.
 - b. Executive Order 20-79 prohibits evictions except under certain circumstances. One such exception allows landlords to issue a termination of lease or nonrenewal of lease due to the need to move the property owner or property owner's family member(s) into the property and where the property owner or property owner's family member(s) move into the property within 7 days after it is vacated by the tenant.
 - c. Although such an exception exists under Executive Order 20-79, Plaintiff has failed to establish that such a need exists in this case.
 - d. Plaintiff's daughter is currently living with him, although he asserts that she does not have her own room. Based upon Plaintiff's testimony, his daughter came to live with him in July 2020. This is not a case where the property owner or property owner's family member is homeless or will become homeless.
 - e. It is also evident that a room became vacant at the property located at 491 57th Ave. NE, Fridley, MN 55432, in September 2020. However, rather than moving his daughter into the vacant room, Plaintiff stated that his nephew to move into the vacant room.
 - f. Additionally, it is apparent that Plaintiff owns another property at 7716 Hampshire Ave. N, Brooklyn Park, MN. Plaintiff asserts that his ex-wife lives at that property.

Nonetheless, as the apparent property owner of 7716 Hampshire Ave. N, Brooklyn Park, MN, it is unclear why Plaintiff couldn't move his daughter into that property if such a need truly exists.

- g. Overall, Plaintiff has not established that a *need* exists to move his daughter into the leased premises and, even if such a need existed, Plaintiff has not established that moving his daughter into the leased premises would be his only option.
- h. Accordingly, Plaintiff has failed to prove that an exception exists in this matter that would allow for an eviction under Executive Order 20-79.

	_							
2.		PLAINT:						
	Plaintiff proved the following allegations by a preponderance of the evidence.							
	a. Compliance with Minn. Stat. § 504B.181.							
	□ 0.	Defendant has failed and refuses to pay rent for the month(s) of						
		in the amount of \$ per month payable on the day of each month for a total due of \$						
	□ c.	Notice to vacate was properly given and Defendant has failed to vacate said						
	□ 0.	property.						
	\Box d.	Defendant has broken the terms of the rental agreement and Defendant has failed						
		to vacate the property.						
	□ e.	Defendant defaulted on the mortgage and the property has been sold at a Sheriff's						
	_	sale. The Redemption period has expired and Plaintiff is entitled to possession.						
	☐ f.	Defendant defaulted on a contract deed and is holding over after proper						
		cancellation of the contract.						
	\square g.	Other:						
3.								
3. DEFENSES: Defendant(s) proved the following defenses by a preponderance of the evidence.								
		Improper service by						
	☐ b.	Violation of the covenants of habitability by						
	☐ c.	Improper notice because						
	☐ d.	Improper notice because						
	☐ e.	Other:						
4.		LEMENT: No judgment to be entered at this time.						
4.		rties have reached a settlement, which is approved and incorporated in this						
		on and Order.						
		on and Order.						
		Settled through Mediation (See attached settlement agreement)						
	Ш	Settled by the Litigants (See attached settlement agreement)						
		Settlement terms are as follows:						
	Order							
1.	The se	ttlement is hereby approved as agreed upon.						
2.								

02-CV-20-4224

		Plaintiff for recovery of the premises. The Writ of Recovery of Premises Order to Vacate shall be:	s and			
		i. issued immediately upon request and payment of fee.ii. stayed until				
		Date				
		Defendant to remain in possession of the premises. Allowable costs and disbursements to the prevailing party.				
3.	The	SMISSAL: le case is dismissed ⊠ WITH □ WITHOUT prejudice and the Court Admi all enter Judgment accordingly.	nistrator			
4.	REDEMPTION: Defendant may redeem the premise (for nonpayment of rent) by paying to the Plaintiff \$ by If not, a judgment and writ shall issue by default. Date					
5.	Defe mon and	ENT ABATEMENT: If endant has had diminished use and enjoyment of the premises. Rent is abate If endant has had diminished use and enjoyment of the premises. Rent is abate If the premises is abated by \$				
6.	The	ENT DISBURSEMENT: the rent now on deposit with the Court shall be released as follows: to Plaintiff \[\] \\$ to D)efendant.			
		HEARING:				
	This	is is scheduled for \square court trial \square jury trial \square motion hearing on issues of				
		on, at(a.m				
	at					
7.	DISC The witn	SCOVERY: the parties shall provide to each other by, the following: a stnesses, with phone numbers and addresses, and the subjects about which they stify, and copies of exhibits (documents, photographs, etc.) to be introduced at	list of y will			
	Parti	rties must bring to trial three (3) copies of all exhibits.				
8.	REN	ENT INTO COURT:				
	Defe	efendant shall pay into Court the rent of \$ in cash or certified	funds			
	paya	yable to the Court Administrator, on or before(a.m./p.m.) on				
		day	of each			
		onth until further Order of the Court, or the Court will issue a Writ of Recover	ry of			
	Pren	emises and Order to Vacate.				

9. 🗵 OTHER:							
		GE court file number 02-0 xistence from the publical					
	Let Judgment Be	Entered Accordingly.	_				
Recommended by:		By the Court:					
		nancy of Sogning	Logering, Nancy (Anoka Judge) 2020.12.14 16:28:05 -06'00'				
Housing Court Referee	Date	Judge	Date				
Judgment							
I hereby certify that the above	Order constitutes	s the entry of Judgment of	the Court.				
Dated:		Lori O'Brien Court Administrator					
		Ву:					
		Deput	V				