STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF HENNEPIN		FC ATH JUDICIAL DISTRICT DIVISION
ANTHONY OSUJI	Plaintiff,	HOUSING COURT DECISION & ORDER
vs 2.7		File No. HC01991118524
SHAWNTELL COLEMAN, JACQUES	S BEECH Defendant.	
This case was heard by the undersigned	on NOVEMBER 30, 1	999.
Plaintiff:	Represented by:	agent counsel
Appeared in person Did not appear and is in default	Represented by:	
Defendant:		
Appeared in person	Represented by:	advocate counsel
Did not appear and is in default	1) challe	inke 9 Jarry 160
The Defendant has admitted	denied the allegation	ons in the unlawful detailer complaint.
FINDINGS OF	FACT AND CONCLUS	IONS OF LAW
1. COMPLAINT: Plaintiff proved	the following allegations	by a preponderance of the evidence.
	ESOTA STATUTE § 504	
b. Nonpayment of rent.	·	
	perly given and Defendan	at(s) held over.
		•
· ————————————————————————————————————		<u> </u>
e. Other:	.1	
2. DEFENSES: Defendant(s) pro-	ved the following defenses	s by a preponderance of the evidence.
b. Violation of the covenar	nts of habitability by	
\		
		which is approved and incorporated in this
	<u> </u>	
<u></u>	on: See attached settlemen	
Cattled by the Litigants:	See attached settlement	agreement

App. 411

ORDER

1.		JUDGMENT: The Court Administrator shall en	ter judgment for:	an : 10.1
a	•	☐ PLAINTIFF for recovery of the premises. T	The Writ of Recovery	y of Premises and Order to
		Vacate shall be:		
		i. issued immediately		1000
		ii. stayed until		ر 1999
b		DEFENDANT to remain in possession of the	e premises.	
С		COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS to the pr	evailing party.	/ <u>#</u>
2.		DISMISSAL: The case is dismissed WIT	TH WIT	HOUT prejudice.
3.	\Box	REDEMPTION: Defendant may redeem the pr	emise (for nonpayme	ent of rent) by paying to the
•		Plaintiff\$by	, 1999. 🗆	If not, a judgment & writ shall
		issue by default.		
		RENT ABATEMENT: Defendant has had dimit	niched use and eniou	ment of the premises Rent is
4.	Ш	RENI ABATEMENT: Defendant has had dimi	hy a	total of \$ and
		abated for the months of per month until the first	month following cor	npletion of court ordered
			month following cor	inplotion of court official
		repairs.		
5.		RENT DISBURSEMENT: The rent now on de	posit with the Court	shall be released as follows:
		S to Plaintiff	□ \$	to Defendant
6.	\Box] HEARING: THIS IS SCHEDULED FOR 🔲 🤇	COURT TRIAL	JURY TRIAL MOTION
0.	لــا	THE ADDING ON TESTIFE OF		
		ON, 1999, AT (AM/F	M). BOTH PARTIE	S SHALL COME TO THE
		A-1700 GOVERNMENT CENTER (OR) FOR	COURTROOM ASSIGNMENT.
7.		DISCOVERY: The parties shall provide to each	n other by	1999, the following.
		a list of witnesses, with phone numbers and addr	resses, and the subject	cts about which they will testify,
		and copies of exhibits (documents, photographs,	etc.) to be introduce	ed at trial, and
		·		•
8.		RENT INTO COURT: Defendant shall pay int	to Court the rent of \$	in cash or certified
•		funds payable to the Court Administrator, on or	before	(AM/PM) on
		1999, and all future rent on the day of ea	ach month until furth	ner Order of the Court, or the
		Court will issue a Writ of Recovery of Premises	and Order to Vacate	· , , , ,
^			4-1:m	is by bailing
9.		The state of the s	s of promise	Will have a
		a promple, willen moule	as regul	estal property
		19 and failure to abide	on the	most del
		11/15/99 matrie (EXH2)	() is she	enleck -
			DV THE COURT	
REC	COM	MMENDED BY:	BY THE COURT	
	1.	1/30/99	N - 3.0	Le Complete
	w	100	Gamer	DAI
Ref	eree	e Date	Juage	Day
REC	UES	ST FOR WRIT OF RECOVERY		
1	-			
By:			Date:	

STATE OF MINNESOTA COUNTY OF HENNEPIN		FC_ATH JUDICIAL DISTRICTDIVISION
ANTHONY OSUJI	Plaintiff,	HOUSING COURT DECISION & ORDER
VS		File No. HC1991118524
SHAWNTELL COLEMAN, JACC	QUES BEECH Defendant.	
This case was heard by the undersi	gned on DECEMBER 8, 19	9 9.
Plaintiff: Appeared in person Did not appear and is in default	Represented by:	agent counsel
Defendant: Appeared in person Did not appear and is in default The Defendant has admitted	Represented by: Larry McDarach 1910 denied the allegation	advocate counsel chelle Giet Le Stud abons in the unlawful detainer complaint.
FINDING	S OF FACT AND CONCLUS	SIONS OF LAW
a. Compliance with M b. Nonpayment of ren c. Notice to vacate wa	MNNESOTA STATUTE § 504 at. as properly given and Defendar	nt(s) held over.
e. Other:		•
a. Improper service by	yvenants of habitability by	s by a preponderance of the evidence.
d. Waiver of	cause	
		which is approved and incorporated in this
Settled through Me	ediation: See attached settlemen	nt agreement

Settled by the Litigants: See attached settlement agreement

ORDER

1. a		JUDGMENT: The Court Administrator shall enter judgment for: PLAINTIFF for recovery of the premises. The Writ of Recovery of Premises and Order to	
		Vacate shall be: i. issued immediately	
		ii 🗍 staved until, 1999	
b c		DEFENDANT to remain in possession of the premises. COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS to the prevailing party.	
2.		DISMISSAL: The case is dismissed WITH WITHOUT prejudice.	
3.		REDEMPTION: Defendant may redeem the premise (for nonpayment of rent) by paying to the Plaintiff \$	all
4.		RENT ABATEMENT: Defendant has had diminished use and enjoyment of the premises. Rent abated for the months of	and
5.		RENT DISBURSEMENT: The rent now on deposit with the Court shall be released as follows: S	
6.		HEARING: THIS IS SCHEDULED FOR COURT TRIAL JURY TRIAL MOTION HEARING ON ISSUES OF (AM/PM). BOTH PARTIES SHALL COME TO THE A-1700 GOVERNMENT CENTER (OR) FOR COURTROOM ASSIGNME	
7.		DISCOVERY: The parties shall provide to each other by, 1999, the following a list of witnesses, with phone numbers and addresses, and the subjects about which they will test and copies of exhibits (documents, photographs, etc.) to be introduced at trial, and	g: stify,
8.		RENT INTO COURT: Defendant shall pay into Court the rent of \$ in cash or certifunds payable to the Court Administrator, on or before (AM/PM) on 1999, and all future rent on the day of each month until further Order of the Court, or the Court will issue a Writ of Recovery of Premises and Order to Vacate.	,
9.	À	OTHER: Motion to expunge is guiled.	
REC	COM	MMENDED BY: BY THE COURT:	
Ref	dun eree	nu Ledray 12-10-99 Date Judge Date	4
RE(QUE	EST FOR WRIT OF RECOVERY	
By:		Date:	

STATE OF MINNESOTA

DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

9 દિ

Anthony Osuji,
Plaintiff (Landlord),

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR EXPUNGEMENT Minn. Stat. 504B.241

Shawntell Coleman and Jacques Beech, Defendants (Tenants).

FILE NO: 1991118524

NOTICE OF MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at 1:30 p.m. on Wednesday, December 8th, the Motion set forth below shall be brought on for hearing before the Honorable Tom Haeg, Referee or Judge of District Court, at the following location: A-1700 Government Center, Minneapolis, MN 55487.

MOTION

- 1. We, Ms. Coleman and Mr. Beech ask the Court for the immediate expungement of this eviction case court file.
- 2. Under Minnesota statutes, the Court may order expungement upon finding that "the plaintiff's case is sufficiently without basis in fact or law . . . that expungement is clearly in the interests of justice and those interests are not outweighed by the public's interest in knowing about the record." Minn. Stat. 504B.241.
- 3. The landlord's case is sufficiently without basis in fact or law: Landlord filed this action prematurely. Provision 19 of the lease provides that lessee shall be given written notice of any default or breach, and termination and default shall not result within 30 days of notice if lessee has corrected the default or breach or has taken action reasonably likely to effect such correction within a reasonable time. Lessees defaulted on installment payment due November 12, 1999. On November 15, 1999 landlord gave a 30 day notice to vacate. Lessees attempted to cure the default on November 16, 1999. However, landlord refused

payment and on November 18, 1999 prematurely filed an unlawful detainer in violation of the lease. Defendants' motion to dismiss was granted November 30, 1999 for failure to provide written notice as required in paragraph 19 and failure to abide by the terms of the November 15, 1999 notice.

- 4. Expungement is clearly in the interests of justice: The unlawful detainer action lacked merit.

 Defendants' have not been in breach of their lease and therefore should not be punished. An unlawful detainer on their record would create an unjustified and undue hardship upon defendants.
- 5. The interests of justice are not outweighed by the public's interest in knowing about the record: Defendants have not been proven to be delinquent tenants. The purpose of the public record is to notify landlords of potential tenants who would pose rental risks based on poor rental history. Defendants' unlawful detainer was brought without merit and dismissed because the action had been brought prematurely. Absent finding any lease violations, defendants are good tenants and do not pose a rental risk that the public records need reflect.

6. I, Michelle L. Gierke, certify that, to the best of my knowledge: *this document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of my knowledge: *\text{this document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of my knowledge: *\text{this document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of my knowledge: *\text{This document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of my knowledge: *\text{This document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of my knowledge: *\text{This document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of the best of my knowledge: *\text{This document is not being filed for an improper reason, such as harassment of the best of
delay; my claims are supported by the law; and there is evidence for my claims and/or my denials.

I know that I may be fined or sanctioned by the court if this certification is false.

Date:

Signature Myhlled Mundo